

Global AI Governance: A Blind Spot?

Emerging frameworks and regulations reveal critical transparency gaps in their development.

Swipe for insights →

THE DATA

0%

Tech Sector Input

The US AI framework development was conducted with minimal reported active involvement from the tech policy sector.

The Trump administration's recent closed-door approach to crafting a national AI framework has surprised the tech policy sector. This lack of transparency and stakeholder inclusion raises significant questions about the framework's comprehensiveness and its ability to address the multifaceted challenges of AI governance effectively.



Source: Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI · Published August 11, 2026

01

Surveillance & Finance: Unregulated Frontiers

Global AI surveillance pricing faces scrutiny by a US Senate panel, highlighting the urgent need for international standards. Simultaneously, the legal gray areas surrounding AI credit scoring are being navigated across borders, underscoring the lack of a unified regulatory approach in critical financial applications.



02

The Cost of Closed-Door Governance

When AI frameworks are developed without broad stakeholder input, they risk lacking crucial industry insights and public trust. This insular approach can lead to regulations that are either impractical, stifle innovation, or fail to adequately protect societal interests, creating a fragmented global regulatory landscape.

MIT, Nvidia, Google & IBM-Trained. Cross Domain-Expert: Quantum, AI, Ethics, Sovereignty, Intelligence, Philanthropy. Solepoint Planning. VUI AI Cloud Architect. AI Certifications Development. Quant/AI Instructor. Castor Venture Club Member. Associate Broker.

George Arnold Alexander, III

Associate Broker, Intelligence Officer, Instructor, ALEX.

+1 713.918.9951 · george@alex.realestate

ALEX

ALEX Intelligence
deep intelligence

ALEX Real Estate
genius real estate

ALEX Advisory
uncontested strategy

ALEX Cares
purposeful philanthropy