

Is AI Governance Too Opaque?

New frameworks are being developed behind closed doors, raising serious concerns about transparency.

Swipe for insights →

THE DATA

0%

Public Disclosure of Framework

The Trump administration's finalized AI testing framework details remain private, leading to zero public disclosure.

Despite finalizing a framework for testing new AI models for safety and cybersecurity risks, the White House has chosen to keep the details private. This lack of transparency means businesses, foreign governments, and the public remain in the dark about scrutiny levels and safety benchmarks.



Source: The Guardian · Published August 07, 2026

01

Undermining Trust and Legitimacy

Developing AI frameworks without transparent processes and diverse stakeholder input can erode crucial public trust. When key communities feel excluded, the legitimacy of the resulting policies can be questioned, hindering their global adoption and enforcement. This opaque approach may inadvertently create resistance to necessary AI governance.



02

Risking Incomplete, Ineffective Policies

A 'closed-door' approach often leads to frameworks that fail to account for the full spectrum of ethical, technical, and societal implications of AI. Policies crafted in isolation are prone to gaps and may prove inadequate or even counterproductive in the complex, rapidly evolving AI landscape. Comprehensive solutions require broad and open engagement.

MIT, Nvidia, Google & IBM-Trained. Cross Domain-Expert: Quantum, AI, Ethics, Sovereignty, Intelligence, Philanthropy. Solepoint Planning. VUI AI Cloud Architect. AI Certifications Development. Quant/AI Instructor. Castor Venture Club Member. Associate Broker.

George Arnold Alexander, III

Associate Broker, Intelligence Officer, Instructor, ALEX.

+1 713.918.9951 · george@alex.realestate

ALEX

ALEX Intelligence
deep intelligence

ALEX Real Estate
genius real estate

ALEX Advisory
uncontested strategy

ALEX Cares
purposeful philanthropy